

## Do farmland sales markets price in weak property rights enforcement?

Vasyl Kvartiuk, Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies (IAMO)

Thomas Herzfeld, Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies (IAMO)

World Bank Land and Poverty Conference | May 15, 2024



#### Contents

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Theory
- 3. Data and methods
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusion and discussion

# **Ukraine's 2021 land reform:** "flagship reform" after the Revolution of Dignity in 2014 (Maidan)

#### **Before:**

- Private ownership was recognized but moratorium on land sales: 7 mln landowners disadvantaged
- Land relations based on land rental
- Rental prices based on artificially determined land value

#### After:

- Sales allowed for Ukrainian individuals
- Legal entities were included in 2024
- Max ownership cap of 100 ha per individual

IOMO



#### Low support rates: Public concern about land institutions

- Incomplete land property rights registries: hostile overtakes
- Lack of knowledge about how to enforce property rights + bad institutions for land disputes
- Revolution of Dignity (2014) improved institutions dramatically but in some areas old elites have continued "business as usual"
- Large powerful land users can navigate this environment better
- Excessive land concentration has been one of the explicit fears connected to land reform



## **Research Questions:**

Are differences in institutional quality across Ukraine priced in by the newly established land sales market?

Do powerful landowners exercise market power in the Ukrainian land sales market?

## **Theoretical framework:**

#### Land prices are modelled in the following way:

- Hedonic model: Plot characteristics affect its value (Palmquist and Danielson, 1989; Maddison, 2000)
- Bad institutions may lead to lower WTA:
  - ✓ Bad institutions increase expropriation risk and push landowners into unfavorable deals
  - ✓ Bad institutions may increase sales transaction costs
- Land concentration
  - Powerful market players may use their bargaining power and put downward pressure on land prices

## Hypotheses:

**H1:** Worse land-related institutions should be associated with lower land sales prices

**H2:** Land sales prices will be lower in regions with high land concentration

### Data:

- Plot-level data by State Service for Geodesy, Cartography and Cadaster (SSGCC) since the launch of the sales market on July 1, 2021 up to February 24, 2022.
- Country-wide representative survey with stratification by sex, age, and employment status conducted in May 2019 – March 2020 by the World Bank
- Supplemented by the State Statistics Service of Ukraine (SSSU)

General m	odel (Tobit):
	$Price_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}Inst_{j} + \beta_{2}Concent_{j} + \beta_{3}Controls_{i} + \varepsilon_{ij}$
where	
Price <sub>i</sub>	- log of the sales price of a land plot <i>i</i>
	Explanatory variables:
Inst <sub>j</sub> /Inst <sub>k</sub>	<ul> <li>Quality of land-related institutions</li> <li>agreement with statements "Ordinary (influential) people can get a redress if their land rights are violated" on a 1 to 4 Likert scale</li> <li>Land rights index – inverse ratio between the scores above (1 to 4)</li> <li>Share of registered private/state-owned land in a given municipality k</li> </ul>
<i>Concent</i> <sub>r</sub>	<ul> <li>Herfindhal-Hirschman Index (HHI) of the owned land within rayon r</li> </ul>
Controls <sub>i</sub>	- plot characteristics
ε <sub>i</sub>	- error term

IOM



#### **Estimation of the sales prices**

	Tobit (1)	Tobit (2)	Tobit (3)	Tobit (4)
Land rights index (1- bad; 4 – good)	0.076***			
	(0.000)			
Ordinary people can get redress if their land		0.085***		
rights are violated (1 – completely disagree; 4		(0.000)		
fully agree)				
Share of registered private land within a			0.201***	
municipality (0 to 1)			(0.006)	
Share of registered state-owned land within a				0.207***
municipality (0 to 1)				(0.005)
HHI index of owned land (0 to 1 range)	-0.269***	-0.253***	-0.248***	-0.249***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Area (na)	-0.020***	-0.020***	-0.020***	-0.020***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Area squared (ha)	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Dummy for pastures or hayfields	-0.548***	-0.546***	-0.507***	-0.506***
				0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
NMV per ha	(0.000) 0.022***	(0.000) 0.023***	(0.000) 0.020***	(0.000) 0.020***
NMV per ha	(0.000) 0.022*** (0.000)	(0.000) 0.023*** (0.000)	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000)	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000)
NMV per ha Inst. quality # HHI index	(0.000) 0.022*** (0.000) -0.128***	(0.000) 0.023*** (0.000) -0.075	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000) -1.243***	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000) -1.258***
NMV per ha Inst. quality # HHI index	(0.000) 0.022*** (0.000) -0.128*** (0.020)	(0.000) 0.023*** (0.000) -0.075 (0.255)	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000) -1.243*** (0.000)	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000) -1.258*** (0.000)
NMV per ha Inst. quality # HHI index N	(0.000) 0.022*** (0.000) -0.128*** (0.020) 12,478	(0.000) 0.023*** (0.000) -0.075 (0.255) 12,482	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000) -1.243*** (0.000) 18,188	(0.000) 0.020*** (0.000) -1.258*** (0.000) 18,063

#### Predicted effect of property rights index depending on land concentration



## **Robustness:**

#### Possible selection bias for the non-missing obs.:

- Heckman selection model (HSM)
- Selection equation is identified by the share of rayon population that complained to free legal aid on land issues
- Results are similar

## **Robustness:**

#### Possible spatial bias for *Price<sub>i</sub>*:

• Spatial autoregressive model with autoregressive disturbances (SARAR)

$$Price_{i} = \beta_{0} + \rho \sum_{k} M_{ik} P_{i} + \beta_{1} Inst_{i} + \beta_{2} Concent_{j} + \beta_{3} Controls_{i} + \lambda \sum_{j} M_{ik} u_{k} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

• SARAR modes deliver similar results

**Challenge:** Land plots within municipalities have the same coordinates

- Bootstrapping procedure to construct inverse-distance matrix with 1 obs. per municipality (Kim et. al. (2019))
- Results are similar

## **Conclusion:**

- Institutions related to property rights enforcement matter for the reforms' success
  - 1 unit (1-4 range) change in property rights index = 7.6% change in land sales prices
  - 10% increase in land registration = 2% land sales price increase
- Initial distribution of owned land is a strong predictor of the sales price
  - 10% increase in HHI Index = 2.5% decrease in land sales price
- Institutional quality appears to be priced in only if a local land market is competitive

#### IOMO

#### Thank you for your attention!

Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies (IAMO) Theodor-Lieser-Str 2 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany

▶ +49 345 2928-336
 ➢ kvartiuk@iamo.de
 ● www.iamo.de/en

iamoLeibniziamoLeibniz

