EFFECT OF BRUSHING ON THE SURFACE MICRO-ROUGHNESS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF CAD/CAM CERAMICS

Roxana- Diana Vasiliu(1), Sorin- Daniel Porojan (2), Liliana Porojan(1)

1Department of Dental Prostheses Technology (Dental Technology), Center for Advanced Technologies in Dental Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, "Victor Babeş" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Timişoara, Eftimie Murgu Sq. No. 2, 300041 2 Department of Oral Rehabilitation (Dental Technique), Center for Advanced Technologies in Dental Prosthodontics,Faculty of Dental Medicine, "Victor Babeş" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Timişoara, Eftimie Murgu Sq. No. 2, 300041 Timişoara, Romania

Introduction

Some of the most commonly used CAD-CAM ceramic materials are leucite (feldspathic) ceramics, lithium silicate reinforced with zirconia and as well hybrid ceramics [2]. An important factor that contributes to the restorations roughness is the daily tooth-brushing [3]. Tooth-brushing combined with dentifrice, can lead to an increased surface roughness of dental restorations Increased surface roughness more than 0,2 μ m leads to an increased plaque accumulation, higher carries risks and antagonist wear [4].

Methods:

Twelve ceramic specimens (n=4)(Vita Mark II (M), Vita Suprinity (S) (Vita Zahnfabrick, Germany), Lava Ultimate (L) (3M, USA)), with dimensions of 10x10x1.5 mm were cut using a machine (Orthoflex PI Dental, Budapest, Hungary) that provides millimeter accuracy in milling.

The rectangular-shaped samples were polished using silicon carbide papers (600–2000 grit) and the final thickness of each specimen was checked with a caliper. The Z ceramic required an additional crystallization (25 minutes at 850 °Celsius) to achieve the final mechanical properties.

The samples were immersed in distilled water for one week at 37 °Celsius to simulate the oral environment. Afterwards the samples were brushed for 10,000 cycles using a electronic device (Oral-B) and toothpaste (Colgate, Palmolive).

The surface roughness (Ra, Rz) was measured on each surface using a surface profilometer (Mitutoyo, Japan) during the immersion and after brushing. Five measurements were made on each sample for the micro-roughness assessment. As well the samples weight was registered using a five digits precision analytical balance to see in which degree the immersion affects their water absorption and their micro-roughness.

Results:

One-way ANOVA revealed that there were significant differences (p<.05) after the immersion for the microroughness values after 96 hours and after one week compared to the initial values, and especially for the S and M samples. Tooth-brushing influenced significantly the Rz values for the micro-roughness for all the materials, but significantly for the M samples.



Figure 1. Mean values for the micro-roughness (μ m) after immersion and toothbrushing.



Figure 2. Mean values for the water absorption after immersion in distilled water.

Discussions:

Many studies investigated the effects of toothbrush abrasion and aging on the surface texture of CAD-CAM blocks, but there is little information in literature about this type of ceramics [1-4]. All the samples registered initial values under 0,2 μ m for the micro-roughness and as well after the immersion and tooth brushing for 10, 000 cycles.

References

- 1. Aker DA, Aker JR, Sorensen SE. J Prosthet Dent 1980;44:161-3.
- Rosentritt M, Sawaljanow A, Behr M, Kolbeck C, Preis V. Clin Oral Investig 2015;19:53-60.
- 3. Franzo D, Philpotts CJ, Cox TF, Joiner A. J Dent 2010;38:974-9.
- 4. Bativala F, Weiner S, Berendsen P, Vincent GR, Ianzano J, Harris WT, Jr. J Prosthet Dent 1987;57:47-52.