# UPPER LIMB CRANKING ASYMMETRY DURING A WINGATE ANAEROBIC TEST IN WHEELCHAIR BASKETBALL PLAYERS.

Florian Brassart<sup>1,3</sup>, Arnaud Faupin<sup>1</sup>, Arnaud Hays<sup>2</sup>, Sadate Bakatchina<sup>1</sup>, Ilona Alberca<sup>1</sup>, Eric Watelain<sup>1</sup>, Thierry Weissland<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Toulon, IAPS - N°201723207F, 83957 La Garde, France <sup>2</sup> ISM, UMR 7287, CNRS & Aix Marseille Univ, Marseille, France <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire IMS, UMR 5218, PMH\_DySCo, Pessac, France

#### Introduction

Interlimb asymmetry of strength and/or motor coordination could limit the performance of wheelchair athletes or increase their risk of injury. Studies of the lower limbs have shown high between-subject variability in interlimb asymmetry that does not depend on the side of dominance and that does not change with fatigue [1]. Upper limb asymmetry is particularly large in highly classed manual wheelchair athletes [2]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the interlimb asymmetry of forces developed during a 30-s anaerobic Wingate arm test, the effects of fatigue on the force, and differences between high- and low-point players (HP versus LP). We hypothesized that asymmetry would not increase during the exercise and that asymmetry would be larger in HP than in LP players and larger in men than women players.

### **Methods**

25 wheelchair basketball players (13 females and 12 males) performed a 30-s Wingate test on an arm ergometer. Participants were classified into two functional categories, high-point (n=12) and low-point (n=13), according to the International Wheelchair Basketball Federation classification. Data were collected with an arm ergometer (Lode Brachumera, Nederland). Left and right arm forces were measured during the pushing and pulling phases at peak power (A\_PP), at 10 sec of onset (A\_10s), and at the end of the 30-s test (A\_30s). We calculated total force asymmetry (SItot) using equation 1[3].

$$SItot = \frac{|LFtot - RFtot|}{0.5*(LFtot + RFtot)} * 100$$
 (1)

#### Results

Asymmetry changed during time exercise at each phase, significantly between A\_PP and A\_10s. In average, force asymmetry increases between A\_PP and A\_10s (12.5  $\pm$  10.5% A\_PP vs.17.1  $\pm$  14.8 A\_10s; p=0.028) and tend to decrease after A\_10s (14.5  $\pm$  12.1 at A\_30s; *NS*). No significant difference between functional categories was founded but tended to be greater in highpoint players (13.7  $\pm$  11% in HP vs. 10.8  $\pm$  7.9% in LP; p=0.078) (*Table 1*). Asymmetry tended to be greater in the women, with significant differences between the men and women in the push phase (respectively, 9  $\pm$  7% vs. 18.5  $\pm$  10.1%; p=0.014).

#### Discussion

Inter-subject variability was high, but forces were asymmetric for most participants, especially women. It seems that differences in classification alone do not explain the tendency for higher force asymmetry in HP players. The bilateral asymmetry is higher at A\_10s, which could show a link between the onset of fatigue and the peak of muscular imbalance. In literature, a commonly used threshold for studies on lower limbs is 10% [4]. A measurement above this threshold would be considered abnormal. However, when studying upper limbs, care should be taken when using this threshold, as upper limb asymmetries could be more prevalent due to the diverse range of tasks they can perform daily. The Wingate anaerobic test could reveal asymmetries that may affect sports performance or daily life.

SI (%)	HP M ± SD	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{LP} \\ \textbf{M} \pm \textbf{SD} \end{array}$	p-value
<b>Total Force</b>	$13.7 \pm 11$	$10.8 \pm 7.9$	.078
<b>Push Force</b>	$14.3 \pm 10.8$	$13.5 \pm 9.3$	.225
<b>Pull Force</b>	$14.0 \pm 12.3$	$12.5 \pm 11.6$	.060

Table 1: Comparison of mean symmetry index (SI%) all along the WanT\_30s of the total force, push force, and pull force between high points players (HP) and low points players (LP). M: mean, SD: Standard deviation, SI: Symmetry Index.

## References

- 1. Girard et al, Sports Biomech, 1-11, 2020.
- Goosey-Tolfrey et al, Scand J Med Sci Sports, 28(5):1586-93,2018
- 3. Robinson, Herzog and Nigg, J Manipulative Physiol Ther, 10(4):172-6, 1987
- 4. Carpes et al, J Sports Med Phys Fitness, 47(1):51-7, 2007.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency within the framework of the priority research program "Very high-performance sport" PARAPERF ANR-19-STHP-0005

